

# ORF/FIN 504: Financial Econometrics

Jianqing Fan — Frederick L. Moore'18 Professor of Finance

Problem Set #1

Spring 2025

*Due Friday, February 7, 2025.*

1. Download the daily, weekly and monthly prices for the Nasdaq index and the IBM stock from *Yahoo!Finance*. Reproduce Figures 1.3 – Figure 1.6 and Figure 1.8 in the lecture note using the Nasdaq index and the IBM stock data from January 2, 2011–December 31, 2018.
2. Suppose that the daily log-returns  $\{r_t\}$  of SP500 index have standard deviation 1%. For simplicity, log-returns will be referred to as returns.
  - (a) What are the standard deviations (SDs) of monthly and annual returns of the SP500 index?
  - (b) Suppose that the expected annual log-return is 10%, what is the probability that the annual return is negative (you may assume that the annual returns are normally distributed)? What is the probability the 4-year cumulative return of SP500 is negative during a US-president term? Empirically, how many U.S. Presidents have a negative returns of SP500 index during his tenure since 1980 (the last 11 President's terms)? **(You do not need to answer the last question)**
  - (c) If  $r_t \sim N(10/252, 1)$ , how many years it is expected to take for us to see a negative 4% or more event in daily returns.
  - (d) Now suppose that  $r_t = 10/252 + \sqrt{1/2}t_4$  so that it has also 1% SD, how likely to get a negative 4% or more event under this  $t$ -distribution?
3. Generate a random sample of size 1000 from the  $t$ -distribution with degree of freedom  $\nu$  and another random sample of size 1000 from the standard normal distribution. Apply the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to see if they come from the same distribution. Report the value for  $\nu = 5, 10, 15$  and 20.
4. Generate 1000 time series from the independent Gaussian white noise  $\{r_t\}_{t=1}^T$  with  $T = 100$ . Compute

$$Z = \sqrt{T}\widehat{\rho}(1), \quad Q_m, \quad Q_m^*$$

for  $m = 3, 6$ , and 12. Plot the histograms of  $Z, Q_3, Q_3^*$  and  $Q_6$  and compare them with their asymptotic distributions. Report the 90<sup>th</sup>, 95<sup>th</sup>, and 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the statistics  $|Z|, Q_3, Q_3^*, Q_6, Q_6^*, Q_{12}$  and  $Q_{12}^*$ , among 1000 simulations and compare them with their theoretical (asymptotic) percentiles. Repeat the experiment when  $T = 400$ .

5. Which of the following models are stationary. Find the roots of their characteristic polynomials and give the rates of decay for their acf functions when stationary.

(a) AR(2):  $X_t = 0.3X_{t-1} - 0.1X_{t-2} + \varepsilon_t$ .

(b) MA(2):  $X_t = \varepsilon_t + 2\varepsilon_{t-1} - 5\varepsilon_{t-2}$ .

(c) ARMA(2,2):  $X_t = -X_{t-1} + 6X_{t-2} + \varepsilon_t + 2\varepsilon_{t-1} - 5\varepsilon_{t-2}$ .

6. Suppose that a stock return follows the nonlinear relationship  $X_t = 0.8\varepsilon_{t-1}^2/(1 + \varepsilon_{t-1}^2) + \varepsilon_t$ , and that  $\{\varepsilon_t\} \sim_{i.i.d.} N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

(a) Simulate the time series of length 500 with  $\sigma = 1$ , and show the the plots of ACF and PACF.

(b) Show that the ACF of  $\{X_t\}$  is zero except at lag 0;

(c) Use (b) to show that the PACF of  $\{X_t\}$  is zero.

This example shows that ACF and PACF are useful mainly for linear time series.

7. (**not required** to hand in; will not be graded) Consider a path dependent payoff function  $Y_t = a_1r_{t+1} + \dots + a_kr_{t+k}$  where  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^k$  are given weights. If the return time series is weak stationary in the sense that  $\text{cov}(r_t, r_{t+j}) = \gamma(j)$ . Show that

$$\text{var}(Y_t) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k a_i a_j \gamma(i - j).$$

A natural estimate of this variance is the following substitution estimator:

$$\widehat{\text{var}}(Y_t) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k a_i a_j \widehat{\gamma}(i - j),$$

where  $\widehat{\gamma}(i - j)$  is defined by (1.8). Show that  $\widehat{\text{var}}(Y_t) \geq 0$ .

8. (**not required** to hand in, *Implication of martingale hypothesis*; will not be graded). Let  $S_t$  be the price of an asset at time  $t$ . One version of the EMH assumes that the prices of any asset form a martingale process in the sense that

$$E(S_{t+1}|S_t, S_{t-1}, \dots) = S_t, \quad \text{for all } t.$$

To understand the implication of this assumption, we consider the following simple investment strategy. With initial capital  $C_0$  dollars, at the time  $t$  we hold  $\alpha_t$  dollars in cash and  $\beta_t$  shares of an asset at the price  $S_t$ . Hence the value of our investment at time  $t$  is  $C_t = \alpha_t + \beta_t S_t$ . Suppose that our investment is self-financing in the sense that

$$C_{t+1} = \alpha_t + \beta_t S_{t+1} = \alpha_{t+1} + \beta_{t+1} S_{t+1},$$

and our investment strategy  $(\alpha_{t+1}, \beta_{t+1})$  is entirely determined by the asset prices upto the time  $t$ . Show that if  $\{S_t\}$  is a martingale process, there exist no strategies such that  $C_{t+1} > C_t$  with probability 1.